Filed 10/23/03 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

		_
	2003 ND 155	
State of North Dakota,		Plaintiff and Appellee
v.		
Peter Paul Zephyrin,		Defendant and Appellant
	No. 20020352	•
Appeal from the Dist	• •	Cast Central Judicial District,
AFFIRMED.		
Per Curiam.		
Birch Peterson Burdi 2806, for plaintiff and appe	• • •	ox 2806, Fargo, N.D. 58108-

Monty Grant Mertz, P.O. Box 10396, Fargo, N.D. 58106-0396, for defendant and appellant.

State v. Zephyrin No. 20020352

Per Curiam.

- [¶1] Peter Paul Zephyrin appealed from a criminal judgment and commitment entered on jury verdicts finding him guilty of class B felony robbery, class C felony felonious restraint, and class A misdemeanor unauthorized use of a motor vehicle. We conclude Zephyrin failed to establish his jury panel was selected in violation of N.D.C.C. ch. 27-09.1 or in violation of the fair cross-section requirement of the State and Federal constitutions. See State v. Marshall, 531 N.W.2d 284, 286-87 (N.D. 1995); State v. Fredericks, 507 N.W.2d 61, 63-65 (N.D. 1993). We conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion in refusing to declare a mistrial because of mid-trial publicity. See State v. Osier, 1999 ND 28, ¶ 23-30, 590 N.W.2d 205; State v. Voeller, 356 N.W.2d 115, 118-121 (N.D. 1984). We further conclude the photographic identification procedure was not impermissibly suggestive, see State v. Norrid, 2000 ND 112, ¶ 6-16, 611 N.W.2d 866, and the guilty verdicts are supported by substantial evidence. We affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(3), (4) and (7).
- [¶2] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. Carol Ronning Kapsner Dale V. Sandstrom William A. Neumann Mary Muehlen Maring